

FORM 9-3

P-1263 Practitioner's Docket No.

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Heinz-Dieter Metzemacher, et al.

Application No.: 10 / 541,625

Group No.:

1624

July 6, 2005 Filed:

not yet assigned Examiner:

MASTER BATCHES BASED ON PRE-EXFOLIATED NANOCLAYS

AND THE USE OF THE SAME

Commissioner for Patents

Confirmation No.

8299

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATUS INQUIRY

WARNING:	Submission of a status letter after a Notice of Allowance may subject an application to a reduction in patent term adjustment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG 111–112, June 26, 2001.
1. More th	an14_ months have passed since
	NEW APPLICATIONS
ti	he filing of this application on
N	lo communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office indicating action on this application.
	MENDED APPLICATIONS
tl	ne filing of a response on
٨	lo further communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office.
	APPEALED APPLICATION
	The Appeal Brief was filed on
,	CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* (When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)
I hereby certify	that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:
,	MAILING "
deposited (Box 1450,	with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
	37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 * ent postage as first class mail.
with suffici	ent postage as first class mail. \[\begin{array}{ll} \text{ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee} \] Mailing Label No
	TRANSMISSION
□ facsimile tr	ansmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (703) Joodlett
1	
Date: Sept	Dorothy Goodlett

(type or print name of person certifying)

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1 6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(check and complete applicable items below)
An Examiner's Answer was mailed on
A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on
APPLICATIONS
g of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on
e undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.
3.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as
ATION
mining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) NL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within a from receipt of form PTOL-37.
mining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner ach art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates t new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the e trames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.
should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.
PLICATIONS
oplications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. Ceipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, lered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely y, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the stition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."
SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER
_
Scott R. Cox (type or print name of practitioner)
SCOLL N. GOX
(type or print name of practitioner) 500 West Jefferson St., Suite 210
O C — ell 3. A miles processes

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 2 of 3)

9_	. 1	3
,-		_

F	ัก	R	N	1
	v	.,	٠.	•

(Rel 102-3/05 Pub 605)

STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

9.3

APPLIC	ATIC	ON SERIAL NO.	/	IS CU	RRENTLY	
	AS:	SIGNED TO GROUP		AND AW	AITS:	
		ACTION BY THE	EXAMINER.			
		APPLICANT'S RE	SPONSE TO	THE OFFICE A	CTION MAILED	
						
						
APPĒAL	. NO)				
		WAITING ACTION B		D OF PATENT A	PPEALS AND INT	ERFER-
_	EN	CES				
		DATE OF HEARING	EXPECTED		·	
		DECISION EXPECTE	ED			